

Journal of the International Dragonfly Fund

1-18

Zohreh Eslami, Mehregan Ebrahimi & Saber Sadeghi

Late spring records of Odonata from the west margin of the Namak Lake, Northwest of Central Plateau of Iran.

Published: 20.10.2018

125 ISSN 1435-3393

The International Dragonfly Fund (IDF) is a scientific society founded in 1996 for the improvement of odonatological knowledge and the protection of species.

Internet: http://www.dragonflyfund.org/

This series intends to publish studies promoted by IDF and to facilitate cost-efficient and rapid dissemination of odonatological data.

Editorial Work: Rory A. Dow, Milen Marinov, Martin Schorr

Layout: Martin Schorr IDF-home page: Holger Hunger

Printing: Colour Connection GmbH, Frankfurt

Impressum: Publisher: International Dragonfly Fund e.V., Schulstr. 7B,

54314 Zerf, Germany. E-mail: oestlap@online.de

Responsible editor: Martin Schorr

Cover picture: **Orthetrum anceps**Photographer: Zohreh Eslami

Late spring records of Odonata from the west margin of the Namak Lake, Northwest of Central Plateau of Iran

Zohreh Eslami¹, Mehregan Ebrahimi¹, Saber Sadeghi^{1, 2}

¹ Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences, Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran Emails: eslami.zohre86@gmail.com, mehregan.ebrahimi@gmail.com, hsabersadeghi@gmail.com

² responsible author

Abstract

A total of 20 Odonata species were recorded from 15 water bodies (3 natural water bodies and 12 man-made reservoirs) in a desert landscape west of the Namak Lake in the northwest of the Central Plateau of Iran, from 27 May to 20 June, 2017. The study area included Qom province and the northern part of Esfahan province (34.07 to 35.14 N, 51.33 to 50.89 E). For Qom province, 17 out of 18 species found are new provincial records. In addition Selysiothemis nigra, Orthetrum chrysostigma and Orthetrum taeniolatum are new findings for Esfahan province. The species around the man-made reservoirs are characterized by a broad ecological amplitude ("generalists") while species assemblages of natural water bodies consisted of more sensitive species. In the arid climate of central part of Iran, man-made reservoirs are major habitats for Odonata species. Although these reservoirs have increased the amount of habitat for generalist species, they do not foster sensitive and specialist species. Furthermore, considering the more intense droughts predicted for these areas in the future, and the current scenario of environmental degradation, some sensitive species may be in danger of local extinction.

Key words: Central Plateau of Iran, Odonata, dragonflies, damselflies, Namak Lake, salinity, bioindicatorial value.

Introduction

The dragonfly fauna of Iran has been studied less than most other countries of the Western Palaearctic (Schneider et al. 2018), although over recent years some studies have been made and – compared with Schmidt (1954) - new records from different parts of Iran have been published (i.e. Bakhshi & Sadeghi 2014; Eslami et al. 2014; Kiany & Sadeghi 2016; Sadeghi & Mohammadalizadeh 2009; Kosterin & Ahmadi 2018, Schneider et al. 2018). However to our knowledge there is no study on the dragonfly fauna of the central part of Iran (west of Namak Lake) where the climate is extremely arid with very few perennial water bodies. In fact, in this area groundwater resources are the only available source for drinking, agricultural and industrial purposes; however due to climatic changes and very low precipitation, these resources are under pressure. Additionally continuous decline of the groundwater level due to the limited

natural recharge of the aquifer has caused intrusion of saline water and consequently poor freshwater quality. Unfortunately during the last decade, with the replacement of the Qanat system with deep wells in many area and intensive groundwater use, this situation is deteriorating (Baghvand et al. 2010). In consequence, flora and fauna, including Odonata, depending on freshwater are in danger. However due to the lack of information on the Odonata fauna, past and ongoing changes cannot be detected. One of the main aims of this study was to identify the Odonata fauna of the desert habitats west of the Namak Lake, and to investigate the species composition of water bodies with some degree of salinity. Another aim was to compare the Odonata species composition among different type of water sources. From May 27 to Jun 20, 2017 several field trips to the Namak Lake were carried out. Fifteen water bodies were visited, only three of them were natural water bodies that have been formed by rivers, while 12 were man-made reservoirs and canals related to irrigation systems.

Material and Methods

The studied area is situated in the northwest of the Iranian Central Plateau (34.07 to 35.14 N, 51.33 to 50.89 E). This area encompasses Qom province and the northwestern part of Esfahan province (Fig. 1). It covers a total area of $7,857 \text{ km}^2$, with elevations from 600 to 3,300 m a.s.l. Average monthly temperatures in this area range from $15 \text{ to } 40^{\circ}\text{C}$ during summer and $-1.7 \text{ to } 18^{\circ}\text{C}$ during winter. The average annual precipitation is 150 mm (range = 0.5 - 28.1 mm / month) (Ebrahimi et al. 2013).


With the help of Google Earth and local people we identified the locations of water bodies west of Namak Lake. Most of them were man-made reservoirs related to the irrigation system and fed by wells. During our field trips we noticed that some of them had dried up; we were able to survey 15 waterbodies (Fig. 1).

Considering the fragile nature of the ecosystems at the water bodies visited, we tried to collect only one or two voucher specimens for each species at each location. The exception was *Ischnura elegans* (Vander Linden, 1820), multiple specimens of this species were collected for molecular analysis. Some easily recognizable common species were identified by sight only or released after identification. Collected specimens were preserved in 70% and 96% ethanol, then were transferred to the Entomology Research Lab of Shiraz University and identified with the help of the identification keys and other references (Dijkstra & Lewington 2006; Dumont 1991). The collected material is deposited in the Zoological Museum and Collection of Biology Department at Shiraz University (ZM-CBSU), Iran.

All photos were taken by Canon PowerShot \$X230 and Canon EOS 1200D, with Tamron AF70-300mm, F/4-5.6 Macro lens, in natural condition. Photographs were taken by the first author.

Fig. 1a, b. Locations of 15 study water bodies to the west of the Namak Lake on the north-west of the Central Plateau of Iran. a- Altitudinal map, b-Google earth map. For explanation of the numbers see the text.

All surveys were conducted between 9:00 and 18:00 on calm and sunny days. Physical parameters of water including pH, salinity, conductivity and Total dissolved solids (TDS) were measured using a HACH HQ30d multi meter.





Localities examined

Esfahan province (Loc. 1-6)

- **Loc. 1.** Khozaq village, 34.070056°N, 51.331944°E, 947 m a.s.l., a 30 x 30 m concrete water reservoir with maximum depth of about 2 m, surrounded by agricultural fields, fed by a well, enclosed with a fence about 1 meter distance from edges, with bushes of *Alhagi maurorum* Medik. along the edges, no aquatic macrophytes or riparian trees (Fig. 2), salinity = 2.45 (‰).
- **Loc. 2.** Meshkat city, 34.18005°N, 51.26893°E, 858 m a.s.l., a 15 x 10 m concrete water reservoir with maximum depth of about 1.5 m, less than 100 m distant from the main road, surrounded by orchards on three sides, no aquatic macrophytes or riparian trees, with floating algal patches and some bushes of A. maurorum along the edges (Fig. 3), salinity = 0.38 (‰).
- **Loc. 3.** Meshkat city, 34.184103°N, 51.257124°E, 892 m a.s.l., a circular concrete pond with a diameter of 25 meters and about 60 cm depth. This is the main reservoir of Meshkat Qanat, with concrete inlet and outlet channels used for conducting water to agricultural lands. The highway in less than 100 m distant to its west. There are orchards on the east side, no aquatic macrophytes or riparian trees, just a few A. maurorum bushes along the edges, algal mats cover significant portion of bottom (Fig. 4), salinity = 0.59 (‰).
- **Loc. 4.** San San village, 34.245861°N, 51.271167°E, 853 m a.s.l., a 45 x 45 m concrete water reservoir with maximum depth of about 3 m, built above ground level, fed by a well, surrounded by agricultural fields with mainly cucumber crops; no aquatic macrophytes, algal mats, riparian trees or bushes (Fig. 5), salinity = 7.09 (‰).
- **Loc. 5.** San San village, 34.243944°N, 51.282388°E, 838 m a.s.l., a 20 x 15 m concrete reservoir with maximum depth of about 1.5 m, surrounded by bare ground, some bushes along the edge, no aquatic macrophytes, algal mats or riparian trees, the water level was 20 cm (Fig. 6), Salinity = 5.67 (‰).



Fig. 2. A reservoir at Khozaq village, Esfahan province (Loc. 1).



Fig. 3. A reservoir at Meshkat city, Esfahan province (Loc. 2).



Fig. 4. Main reservoir of Meshkat Qanat, Esfahan province (Loc. 3).



Fig. 5. A reservoir at San San village, Esfahan province (Loc. 4).





Fig. 6a. Dried farmland around the reservoir, b. A reservoir at San San village, Esfahan province (Loc. 5).



Fig. 7. A reservoir at 12 kilometer north of Ab Shirin village, Esfahan province (Loc. 6).

Loc. 6. 12 kilometer north of Ab Shirin village, 34.384806°N, 51.225333°E, 912 m a.s.l., a 40 x 40 m concrete water reservoir with maximum depth of about 2 m, fed by a well, beside the main road and surrounded on three side by farmlands and gardens, with bushes and shrubs at one side; no aquatic macrophytes, algal mats cover the bottom. There were two temporary outlets, formed by grassy channels around the pond (Fig. 7), salinity = 1.71 (‰).

IDF-Report 125

Qom province (Loc. 7-15):

- **Loc. 7.** Zanburak village, $34.470167^{\circ}N$, $51.0875^{\circ}E$, 924 m a.s.l., a 25×35 m concrete water reservoir with a maximum depth of about 2 m, fed by a well, beside the road, surrounded by open agricultural land, with submerged aquatic macrophytes and floating algal mats and some bushes around the edge. A narrow concrete channel connects this pond to another pond 80 m away. This 25×25 m large concrete pond has the same characteristics. We surveyed both ponds and the channel (Fig. 8), salinity = 1.64 (‰).
- **Loc. 8.** 16 km south west of Qom, part of Qomrood River near Tayeghan village, 34.498111°N, 50.699222°E, 1,069 m a.s.l., this part of the river is 3-4 m wide, running in a small valley, creating several pools of different sizes; bank bordered with tamarisk shrubs, pool with emergent macrophytes (*Phragmites* sp.) along the edge; algal mats on bottom; bottom consists of rocks and boulders in medium fast reaches and silt, gravel and sand in slow reaches and pools. Local people use this site as a picnic site and probably because of this, considerable amounts of solid waste like glass and plastic were observed in riparian area (Fig. 9), salinity = 5.12 (‰).
- **Loc. 9.** North East of Qom city, 200 meters east of Persian Gulf freeway, 34.674528°N, 50.912694°E, 911 m a.s.l., backwater section in the downstream part of Qomrood River, right after passing through the city, surrounded by industrial and urban areas, with some tamarisk shrubs and emergent aquatic macrophytes (e.g. *Phragmites* sp) at the margin, muddy bed, smelly, disturbed extensively and loaded with solid waste (Fig. 10), salinity = 3.77 (‰).
- **Loc. 10.** 1km south west of Ghanavat city, 34.597278°N, 51.013972°E, 896 m a.s.l., a 50 x 30 m concrete water reservoir with maximum depth of about 2 m, located almost in the middle of an agricultural patch, an area of about 300 km², fed by a well, built above ground level, with some bushes along the edge, without any aquatic macrophytes, algal mats or riparian trees, salinity = 4.15 (‰).
- **Loc. 11.** 3 km south west of Qomroud city, 34.714639°N, 51.038639°E, 855 m a.s.l., a 2 meter wide canal, almost one kilometer long, surrounded with farmlands, with dense riparian vegetation, emergent aquatic macrophytes (e.g. *Phragmites* sp.) and algal mats, water smelly and almost black (Fig. 11), salinity = 1.38 (‰). No Odonata.
- **Loc.** 12. 2 km north of Qomroud city, 34.745022°N, 51.068800°E, 845m a.s.l., 1 meter wide shallow canal, beside the road, with few trees and vegetation along the edge; algal mats on bottom; bottom consists of silt, gravel and sand (Fig. 12), salinity = 5.67 (‰). No Odonata.
- **Loc. 13.** Marreh wetland, 50 km north east of Qom city, km. 50 of Qom-Garmsar Highway, 34.957694°N, 51.298667°E, 813 m a.s.l., a wetland surrounded by salty desert, located in Masileh watershed, fed by Shour and Karaj Rivers; in winter the wetland reaches 10,000 hectares in area, but in late spring and summer its area decreases, and most of it appears as a clay plain. At the time of our survey the wetland mainly consisted of water patches with marshy margins, riparian vegetation mostly of *Salicornia* sp. and *Tamarix* sp., submerged aquatic macrophytes, several species of birds and numerous mosquitoes (Fig. 13), salinity in surveyed water patches = 8.8 (‰).

Fig. 8 a. A reservoir at Zanburak village, Qom province (Loc. 7). b. Concrete channels that connect the reservoir of picture (a) to another one.











Fig. 9 (a-c). Qomrood River near Tayeghan village, Qom province (Loc. 8).

IDF-Report 125



Fig. 10. Backwater section downstream of Qomrood River, beside Qom city, Qom province (Loc. 9).



Fig. 11. A concrete canal 3 km south west of Qomroud city, Qom province (Loc. 11).



Fig. 12. A shallow canal 2 km north of Qomroud city, Qom province (Loc. 12).





Fig. 13a, b. Marreh wetland, Qom province (Loc. 13).



Fig. 14. A reservoir at 30 km north of Qom city, at margin of Hoz-e-Soltan Lake, Qom province (Loc. 14).

Loc. 14. 30 km north of Qom city, at margin of Hoz-e-Soltan Lake, 34.97512°N, 50.858732°E, 818 m a.s.l., a 45 x 35 concrete water reservoir with maximum depth of about 2 m, fed by a well, beside the freeway, surrounded by bare ground (worked farmland), due to reduced water inflow only a small percentage of the reservoir had water, and on the dried bottom *Tamarix* sp. and *Alhagi* bushes had grown (Fig. 14), Salinity = 9.9 (‰). Only one specimen, an *Orthetrum* sp., was observed.

IDF-Report 125

Loc. 15. Baghak village, 15 km north of Hoz-e-Soltan Lake, 35.140737°N, 50.896738°E, 1,257 m a.s.l., a concrete water reservoir with 770 m² area and maximum depth of 3 m, located in the middle of Baghak village, fed by Qanat, bank with gentle slope, with large patches of floating aquatic macrophytes and without riparian vegetation, There were also several smaller reservoirs in the village, all of them dried up (Fig. 15), salinity = .55 (‰).



Fig. 15 a. A reservoir at Baghak village, Qom province (Loc. 15). b. Reservoirs in the Baghak village that had dried up.

Recorded species

Coenagrionidae

Ischnura elegans (Vander Linden, 1820)

Loc. 1: Several 33, 99, copulae seen, 4 33 and 1 99 collected, Loc. 8: many 33, 999 and several copulae seen, 4 33 collected, Loc. 9: several 33, 999 seen, 1 3 collected (Fig. 16).

Ischnura evansi Morton, 1919

Loc. 8: many 33, 99 and several copulae seen, 2 33 and 2 99 collected. Ischnura fountaineae Morton, 1905

Loc. 5: Several 33, 99 and 1 copulae seen, 1 3 and 1 9 collected; Loc. 7: Two 3,



Fig. 16a. *Ischnura elegans*: male, b. female.

Ischnura pumilio (Charpentier, 1825)

Loc. 9: 1 3 collected

Erythromma viridulum (Charpentier, 1840)

Loc. 6: Several 33, 99 and 2 copulae seen, 1 3 and 1 9 collected; Loc. 10: Several 33, 99 and copulae seen, 2 33 and 1 9 collected.

Family Platycnemididae

Platycnemis dealbata Klug in Selys, 1863

Loc. 8: Many 33, 99 and many ovipositing tandems seen.

Gomphidae

Paragomphus lineatus (Selys, 1850)

Loc. 8: 4 33 seen, 1 3 collected

Onychogomphus lefebvrii (Rambur, 1842)

Loc. 8: 3 33 seen, 1 3 collected

Aeshnidae

Anax imperator Leach, 1815

Loc. 6: several 33 seen, 13 collected; Loc. 3: 13 seen.

Anax parthenope (Selys, 1839)

Loc. 15: two 33 seen, 13 collected; Loc. 3: several 33 and 1 copula seen, 13 collected.

Libellulidae

Selysiothemis nigra (Vander Linden, 1825)

Loc. 6: Several 33, 99 and copulae seen, 1 3 collected.

Crocothemis erythraea (Brullé, 1832)

Loc. 1: Several 33, 99 seen; Loc. 2: Several 33, 99 seen; Loc. 3: 4 33 seen, 1 3 collected, Loc. 4: 2 33 seen; Loc. 6: Several 33, 99 seen, 1 3 collected; Loc. 7: Several 33, 99 seen; Loc. 8: Several 33, 99 seen; Loc. 9: 3 33 seen; Loc. 15: Several 33, 99 seen, 1 3 collected (Fig. 17).



Fig. 17a. male, b. female of Crocothemis erythraea perching on plastic debris.



Fig. 18. A male of Orthetrum anceps.

Trithemis kirbyi Selys, 1891

Loc. 1: Several 33 seen; Loc. 3: Several 33 seen; Loc. 6: Several 33 seen; 1 3 collected, Loc. 7: 4 33 seen; Loc. 9: 2 33 seen; Loc. 10: Several 33, 99 seen, 1 3 collected; Loc. 15: Several 33, 99 seen, 1 3 collected.

Orthetrum sabina (Drury, 1773)

Loc. 1: 3 33 seen, 1 3 collected; Loc. 3: 2 33 seen; Loc. 6: 3 33 seen; 1 3 collected.

Orthetrum cancellatum (Linnaeus, 1758)

Loc. 6: 3 33 seen, 1 3 collected; Loc. 9: 2 33 seen; 1 3 collected; Loc. 10: Several 33 seen, 1 3 collected; Loc. 13: 2 33 seen.

Orthetrum anceps (Schneider, 1845)

Loc. 8: Several 3399 seen; 1 3 collected (Fig. 18).

Orthetrum brunneum (Fonscolombe, 1837)

Loc. 4: 4 33 seen, 1 3 collected; Loc. 7: 3 33 seen, 1 3 collected; Loc. 8: Several 33, 99 seen, 1 3 collected.

Orthetrum taeniolatum (Schneider, 1845)

Loc. 1: several 33 99 and 2 copulae seen, 1 3 collected; Loc. 3: several 33 99 seen; 1 3 collected; Loc. 10: Several 33 seen, 1 3 collected; Loc. 13: 2 33 seen.

Orthetrum chrysostigma (Burmeister, 1839)

Loc. 3: several 33 seen, 13 collected; Loc. 5: several 33 99 and 1 copulae seen, 13 collected.

Sympetrum fonscolombii (Selys, 1840)

Loc. 1: several 33 99, 1 3 collected.

Discussion

Of 100 autochthonous taxa of dragonflies that have been confirmed to be present in Iran (Schneider et al. 2018), 20 species were recorded during this study. The biogeographic affiliation of the species encountered following to the classification proposed by Heidari and Dumont (2002), comprises 5 Eurosiberian species (I. pumilio, I. elegans, O. brunneum, O. cancellatum, O. taeniolatum), 4 Irano-Turanian species (I. evansii, I. fountaineae, S. nigra, O. lefebvrii), 3 Mediterranean species (E. viridulum, P. dealbata, O. anceps), 3 species with a broad West Palaearctic/Afrotropical distribution (A. imperator, C. erythraea, S. fonscolombii), 2 Oriental species (P. lineatus, O. sabina) and 3 species with range that span both the Afrotropical and Oriental regions (A. parthenope, O. chrysostigma, T. kirbyi).

Ischnura elegans and Calopteryx splendens intermedia Selys, 1887 (syn. Calopteryx intermedia persica Bartenef, 1912) are the only Odonata species that have been reported from Qom province before (Schmidt 1954). Several Odonata species have been reported from different parts of Esfahan province, however there are only two species records from our studied area of this province (the arid part of the north), Diplacodes lefebvrii (Rambur, 1842) from Kashan city, and Sympetrum meridionale (Selys, 1841) from Kadich, north of Esfahan province (Schmidt 1954; Heidari & Dumont 2002; Schneider et al. 2018).

All of the 18 species recorded from Qom province, except Ischnura elegans, are new records for this province. In addition Selysiothemis nigra, Orthetrum chrysostigma and Orthetrum taeniolatum are new findings for Esfahan province.

Ischnura elegans was found during our study in Qom province, while Diplacodes lefebvrii (Rambur, 1842), recorded in Kashan city in September 1999 (Schneider et al. 2018), was not discovered during our visits around the Kashan city. The reason could be the time of our survey (May) that did not match the flight season of this species. The same reason could be attributed to the absence of S. meridionale from our species list. Calopteryx splendens intermedia prefers rivers and streams; we visited such a habitat at locality 8 but did not find this species, maybe because of the water salinity (Salinity = 5.12 (‰)), Bäthe and Coring (2011) also showed that increasing salinity could be the reason of the closely related taxon C. splendens splendens' absence from their studied rivers.

The most common species was *C. erythraea* (found in 9 water bodies); this finding is easily explained by the fact that this species is a good disperser, has exophytic oviposition, prefers higher temperatures and tolerates salinity (Khrokalo & Matushkina 2010; Suhling et al. 2003); this high plasticity to harsh ecological conditions enables it to colonise most of the waterbodies in the studied area.

Of 20 recorded Odonate species, 6 were Zygoptera and 14 were Anisoptera. One probable reason of low number of Zygoptera could be that they are species with endophytic oviposition requiring submerged vegetation for oviposition (Suhling et al. 2003). As aeshnids also exhibit endophytic oviposition, Anax-species were only observed in low numbers.

The most common member of the Zygoptera was *I. fountaineae* which was found at 7 localities. This is not a surprise since this species is the most thermophilic species in the nymphal phase and the most thermoxerophilic in the adult phase compared with *I. elegans*, *I. evansi* and *I. pumilio* (Borisov 2006b) and is considered a desert dweller with high abundance at artificial reservoirs of the desert zone (Borisov 2006a).

Species richness was almost equal between natural and man-made water bodies, as we recorded 14 species in 3 natural water bodies (localities 8, 9, 13) and 15 species in 12 man-made reservoirs, but species composition was different: There were 9 shared species that occur in both types of water bodies namely *I. elegans*, *I. fountaineae*, *C. erythraea*, *T. kirbyi*, *O. sabina*, *O. cancellatum*, *O. anceps*, *O. brunneum*, and *A. imperator*, while *P. lineatus*, *O. lefebvrii*, *P. dealbata*, *I. evansi*, and *I. pumilio* were restricted to natural water reservoirs (localities 8 and 9), and *E. viridulum*, *S. nigra*, *O. taeniolatum*, *O. chrysostigma*, *S. fonscolombii*, and *A. parthenope* were found only in man-made reservoirs.

Species that we recorded around the man-made reservoirs are stress-tolerant and generalist species, with the ability to colonise waterbodies in their initial stages of succession (Borisov 2006b; Chovanec & Raab 1997; Pinkert et al. 2017; Suhling et al. 2006; Suhling et al. 2015). Although we visited man-made water bodies with different habitat qualities, all of them were suffering from some degree of disturbance. Their Odonata assemblages also indicate that these habitats tend to be degraded (Renner et al. 2018; Samways & Steytler 1996; Suhling et al. 2006). On the other hand O. lefebvrii and P. lineatus, found only at locality 8, are sensitive to habitat and water quality degradation, so their existence indicates good habitat quality (Amr et al. 2013; Debata et al. 2013).

However since we could not find any taxon of *Calopteryx*, it seems that habitat quality even at locality 8 is not good enough for more sensitive species, e.g. with demands for higher oxygen content in the water.

According to their electrical conductivity (ECi) and based on Rhoades (1992) the water bodies studied can be classified as follows: slightly saline (EC= 0.7 ± 2.0), moderately saline (EC= 2.0 ± 10.0) and highly saline (EC= 10.0 ± 20.5).

Species of slightly saline waters (localities 2, 3, 15): I. fountaineae, O. taeniolatum, O. sabina, O. cancellatum, O. chrysostigma, T. kirbyi, C. erythraea, A. parthenope.

Species of moderately saline waters (localities 1, 6, 7 8, 9, 10, 11): I. fountaineae, I. elegans, I. evansi, I. pumilio, E. viridulum, P. dealbata, S. nigra, C. erythraea, T. kirbyi, O. sabina, O. cancellatum, O. taeniolatum, O. brunneum, O. anceps, S. fonscolombii, P. lineatus, O. lefebvrii, A. imperator, A. parthenope.

Species of highly saline waters (localities 4, 5, 12, 13, 14) were C. erythraea, O. cancellatum, I. fountaineae and O. chrysostigma.

All species that we found in highly saline waters, have also been recorded in saline waters during several studies before (Beschovski & Marinov 2007; Carchini & Di Domenico 1992; Gauci & Sciberras 2010; Suhling et al. 2003; Zia et al. 2018), they are apparently adapted to water with a high salt content.

Ischnura fountaineae, Crocothemis erythraea, and Orthetrum cancellatum obviously don't have any bioindicatorial value for the degree of salinity as they occurred at all studied water bodies ranging from low to high electrical conductivity.

In central Iran where the climate is extremely arid and where very few perennial water sources are available, man-made reservoirs related to irrigation system are the main habitat for Odonata species. Our study shows that although these reservoirs are suitable habitats for opportunistic and generalist species, but they cannot sustain sensitive and specialist species, probably because of their poor habitat structures (i.e. lack of riparian vegetation). Although all of the species recorded here are listed as "Least Concern" in the IUCN red list of threatened species (IUCN 2018), to our knowledge there is no information about the status of these species in central of Iran. Considering that more intense droughts are predicted for these areas and the current scenario of environmental degradation and habitat disturbance, some sensitive species on this list may be in danger of local extinction. As a consequence, to improve knowledge of conservation status of these species in central of Iran and to making management decisions, further investigations with a wider coverage are necessary.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the International Dragonfly Fund (IDF). We are grateful to Martin Schorr for this and for his and Wolfgang Schneider's helpful comments. We like to express our deep gratitude to Mohammad Eslami, Zahra Eslami, Fatemeh Sadeghi and Mahnaz Talebi for their great help and field assistance, we are thankful to K.D. Dijkstra, Yaser Bakhshi and Mohsen Kiani for their help in identification of specimens. We also thank Ahmad Bahri for his guidance in finding some of the water bodies studied.

References

- Amr, Z.S., Al Azzam, L.S., Katbeh-Bader, A. & Eid, E.K. 2013. Odonata of Wadi Al Mujib catchment with notes on the impact of Wadi Al Mujib Dam, Jordan (Insecta: Odonata). Jordan Journal of Biological Sciences 147(916): 1-8.
- Baghvand, A., Nasrabadi, T., Bidhendi, G.N., Vosoogh, A., Karbassi, A. & Mehrdadi, N. 2010. Groundwater quality degradation of an aquifer in Iran central desert. Desalination 260(1): 264-275.
- Bakhshi, Y. & Sadeghi, S. 2014. Odonata fauna of Khuzestan province (Iran) with a note on an Oriental species *Trithemis aurora* (Burmeister, 1839) as a new record for the south-west of Iran. Zoology in the Middle East 60(4): 372-374.
- Bäthe, J. & Coring, E. 2011. Biological effects of anthropogenic salt-load on the aquatic fauna: a synthesis of 17 years of biological survey on the rivers Werra and Weser. Limnologica-Ecology and Management of Inland Waters 41(2): 125-133.
- Beschovski, V. & Marinov, M. (2007) Fauna, Ecology, and Zoogeography of Dragonflies (Insecta: Odonata) of Bulgaria. In: Biogeography and Ecology of Bulgaria. Springer, pp. 199-232.
- Borisov, S. 2006a. Adaptations of dragonflies (Odonata) under desert conditions. Entomological Review 86(5): 534-543.
- Borisov, S. 2006b. Ecological niches of species of the genus *Ischnura* (Odonata, Coenagrionidae) in oases of the Pamir-Alai Mountains. Entomological Review 86(6): 623-631.
- Carchini, G. & Di Domenico, M. 1992. The larval stages of *Ischnura fountainei Morton* (Zygoptera: Coenagrionidae). Odonatologica 21(4): 473-479.
- Chovanec, A. & Raab, R. 1997. Dragonflies (Insecta, Odonata) and the ecological status of newly created wetlands examples for long-term bioindication programmes. Limnologica 27(3): 381-392.
- Debata, S., Sahu, H.K., Rout, S. & Kumar, R. 2013. An observation on Odonata diversity in Hadgarh Wildlife Sanctuary, Odisha, Eastern India. Tigerpaper 40(2): 10-13.
- Dijkstra, K. & Lewington, R. (2006) Field Guide to the Dragonflies of Britain and Europe. UK: British wild life publishing. 320 p.
- Dumont, H.J. (1991) Odonata of the Levant (Vol. 5): Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities.
- Ebrahimi, M., Ahmadzadeh, F., Mostafavi, H., Mehrabian, A.R., Abdoli, A. & Mahini, A.S. 2013. The ecological associations of surface-dwelling lizards in Qom province in the Northwest of Central Plateau of Iran. PloS one 8(12): e83890.
- Eslami, Z., Pashaei, R.S. & Dumont, H. 2014. Sympetrum flaveolum (Odonata: Libellulidae) a new species record for Iran. Journal of Entomological Society of Iran 34(2): 71-73.
- Gauci, C. & Sciberras, A. 2010. First Records of *Orthetrum chrysostigma* (Odonata, Libellulidae) Burmeister, 1839, from the Maltese Islands. The Central Mediterranean Naturalist 5: 78-80.
- Heidari, H. & Dumont, H.J. 2002. An annotated check-list of the Odonata of Iran. Zoology in the Middle East 26(1): 133-150.

- IUCN (2018). The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2018-1. International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources. Available from: http://www.iucnredlist.org/ (accessed July 2018)
- Khrokalo, L. & Matushkina, N. 2010. Expansion of Crocothemis erythraea in Ukraine. Bio-Risk 5: 211-223.
- Kiany, M.; Sadeghi, S. (2016): Odonata from southwest of central desert of Iran with occurrence notes on *Ischnura intermedia* Dumont, 1974. Iranian Journal of Animal Biosystematics 12(1): 67-76.
- Kosterin, O.E.; Ahmadi, A. (2018): Odonata observed in Central Zagros, Iran, in late May 2017. IDF-Report 117: 1-65.
- Pinkert, S., Dijkstra, K.-D.B.; Zeuss, D.; Reudenbach, C.; Brandl, R.; Hof, C. (2017) Evolutionary processes, dispersal limitation and climatic history shape current diversity patterns of European dragonflies Ecography 40: 1-10
- Renner, S., Périco, E., Dalzochio, M.S. & Sahlén, G. 2018. Water body type and land cover shape the dragonfly communities (Odonata) in the Pampa biome, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. Journal of insect conservation 22(1): 1-13.
- Rhoades, J.D. (1992) The use of saline waters for crop production. FAO.
- Sadeghi, S. & Mohammadalizadeh, J. 2009. Additions to the Odonata fauna of Iran. Iranian Journal of Science and Technology (Sciences) 33(4): 355-359.
- Samways, M.J. & Steytler, N.S. 1996. Dragonfly (Odonata) distribution patterns in urban and forest landscapes, and recommendations for riparian management. Biological Conservation 78(3): 279-288.
- Schmidt, E. (1954): Die Libellen Irans. Sitzungsberichte der Österreichischen Akadademie der Wissenschaften. Mathematisch.-naturwissenschaftliche Klasse Abteilung 1, Band 163 (4/5): 223-260.
- Schneider, T., Ikemeyer, D., Müller, O. & Dumont, H.J. 2018. Checklist of the dragon-flies (Odonata) of Iran with new records and notes on distribution and taxonomy. Zootaxa 4394(1): 1-40.
- Suhling, F., Jödicke, R. & Schneider, W. 2003. Odonata of African arid regions are there desert species? Cimbebasia 18(207-224.
- Suhling, F., Sahlén, G., Gorb, S., Kalkman, V.J., Dijkstra, K.-D.B. & van Tol, J. (2015) Order Odonata. In: Thorp and Covich's Freshwater Invertebrates (Fourth Edition). Elsevier, pp. 893-932.
- Suhling, F., Sahlén, G., Martens, A., Marais, E. & Schütte, C. 2006. Dragonfly assemblages in arid tropical environments: a case study from western Namibia. Biodiversity & Conservation 15(1): 311-332.
- Zia, A., Amad-Ud-Din, I.A., Munir, A. & Afsheen, S. 2018. Effect of salinity gradients on species composition of Odonata naiads. Arthropods 7(1): 11-25.

INSTRUCTION TO AUTHORS

International Dragonfly Report is a journal of the International Dragonfly Fund (IDF). It is referred to as the journal in the remainder of these instructions. Transfer of copyright to IDF is considered to have taken place implicitly once a paper has been published in the journal.

The journal publishes original papers only. By original is meant papers that: a) have not been published elsewhere before, and b) the scientific results of the paper have not been published in their entirety under a different title and/or with different wording elsewhere. The republishing of any part of a paper published in the journal must be negotiated with the Editorial Board and can only proceed after mutual agreement.

Papers reporting studies financially supported by the IDF will be reviewed with priority, however, authors working with Odonata from the focal area (as defined on the back page of the front cover) are encouraged to submit their manuscripts even if they have not received any funds from IDF.

Manuscripts submitted to the journal should preferably be in English; alternatively German or French will also be accepted. Every manuscript should be checked by a native speaker of the language in which it is written; if it is not possible for the authors to arrange this, they must inform the Editorial Board on submission of the paper. Authors are encouraged, if possible, to include a version of the abstract in the primary language of the country in which their study was made.

Authors can choose the best way for them to submit their manuscripts between these options: a) via e-mail to the publisher, or b) on a CD, DVD or any other IBM-compatible device. Manuscripts should be prepared in Microsoft Word for Windows.

While preparing the manuscript authors should consider that, although the journal gives some freedom in the style and arrangements of the sections, the editors would like to see the following clearly defined sections: Title (with authors names, physical and e-mail addresses), Abstract, Introduction, Material & Methods, Results, Discussion, Acknowledgments and References. This is a widely used scheme by scientists that everyone should be familiar with. No further instructions are given here, but every author should check the style of the journal.

Authors are advised to avoid any formatting of the text. The manuscripts will be stylised according to the font type and size adopted by the journal. However, check for: a) all species names must be given in italic, b) the authority and year of publication are required on the first appearance of a species name in the text, but not thereafter, and c) citations and reference list must be arranged following the format below.

Reference cited in the text should read as follows: Tillyard (1924), (Tillyard 1924), Swezey & Williams (1942).

The reference list should be prepared according to the following standard:

Swezey, O. & F. Williams, 1942. Dragonflies of Guam. Bernice P. Bishop Museum Bulletin 172: 3-6.

Tillyard, R., 1924. The dragonflies (Order Odonata) of Fiji, with special reference to a collection made by Mr. H.W. Simmonds, F.E.S., on the Island of Viti Levu. Transactions of the Entomological Society London 1923 III-IV: 305-346.

Citations of internet sources should include the date of access.

The manuscript should end with a list of captions to the figures and tables. The latter should be submitted separately from the text preferably as graphics made using one of the Microsoft Office products or as a high resolution picture saved as a .jpg .tif or .ps file. Pictures should be at least 11 cm wide and with a minimum 300 dpi resolution, better 360 dpi. Line drawings and graphics could have 1200 dpi for better details. If you compose many pictures to one figure, please submit the original files as well. Please leave some space in the upper left corner of each picture, to insert a letter (a, b, c...) later. Hand-made drawings should be scanned and submitted electronically. Printed figures sent by the post could be damaged, in which case authors will be asked to resubmit them.

Manuscripts not arranged according to these instructions may also be accepted, but in that case their publication will be delayed until the journal's standards are achieved.

